BEFORE THE

INDEPENDENT CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE TO THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE ORGANIZED PURSUANT TO THE CALIFORNIA STEM CELL RESEARCH AND CURES ACT

REGULAR MEETING

LOCATION: VIA ZOOM

DATE: DECEMBER 11, 2020

12 P.M.

REPORTER: BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR

CSR. NO. 7152

FILE NO.: 2020-21

INDEX

INDEX	
ITEM DESCRIPTION OPEN SESSION:	PAGE NO.
1. CALL TO ORDER.	3
2. ROLL CALL.	3
ACTION ITEMS:	
3. CONSIDERATION OF CIRM RESEARCH BUDGET FOR 1/1/21-6/30/21.	4
4. CONSIDERATION OF CONCEPT CHANGES TO EXISTING CLINICAL, DISCOVERY AND TRANSLATION STAGE PROGRAMS.	10
5. PUBLIC COMMENT.	NONE
6. ADJOURNMENT.	36

2

i	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
1	FRIDAY, DECEMBER 11, 2020.
2	12 NOON.
3	
4	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: ALL RIGHT. LET'S GO
5	AHEAD AND CONVENE THE MEETING. MARIA, COULD YOU
6	CALL THE ROLL.
7	MS. BONNEVILLE: SURE. OS STEWARD.
8	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: HERE.
9	MS. BONNEVILLE: DEBORAH DEAS.
10	DR. DEAS: HERE.
11	MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE. JUDY
12	GASSON.
13	DR. GASSON: HERE.
14	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
15	DR. HIGGINS: HERE.
16	MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE JUELSGAARD.
17	MR. JUELSGAARD: HERE.
18	MS. BONNEVILLE: SHLOMO MELMED. ART
19	TORRES.
20	MR. TORRES: HERE.
21	MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
22	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: HERE.
23	MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA VUORI.
24	SO WE HAVE A QUORUM. OS, IF YOU WANT TO
25	START.
	3

1	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: OKAY. EXCELLENT. SO
2	TODAY WE'LL BE CONSIDERING PROPOSALS FOR BRINGING
3	OUT, RAMPING UP, WHATEVER YOU WANT TO CALL IT, AS WE
4	MOVE INTO THE PROP 14 ERA. I SUSPECT WE HAVE A
5	PRESENTATION. AND, MARIA, WHO IS GOING TO BE DOING
6	THAT?
7	MS. BONNEVILLE: JENNIFER LEWIS WILL BE
8	PRESENTING THE BUDGET, AND THEN GIL SAMBRANO WILL BE
9	PRESENTING THE CONCEPT PLANS.
10	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: EXCELLENT. OKAY.
11	THANK YOU. JENNIFER, IF YOU COULD GO AHEAD AND LEAD
12	OUT, THAT WOULD BE TERRIFIC.
13	MS. LEWIS: THANKS, OS. THANKS, DOUG. SO
14	TODAY I'LL BE PRESENTING TO YOU OUR CURRENT BUDGET
15	ALLOCATION AND OUR PROPOSAL FOR A BUDGET, ADDITIONAL
16	FUNDS FOR THE NEXT SIX MONTHS.
17	SO AS A REMINDER, FIRST, I WANTED TO
18	REVIEW OUR CURRENT BUDGET ALLOCATION. AS YOU
19	RECALL, THE BOARD HAS ALLOCATED FUNDS TO THE
20	CLINICAL PROGRAM FOR THE CURE SICKLE CELL
21	PARTNERSHIP WITH NHLBI OF \$30 MILLION AND 1.84
22	MILLION FOR PROGRESSION AWARDS FOR THE DISC2
23	PROGRAM, WHICH TOTALS A CURRENT ALLOCATION OF 31.84
24	MILLION.
25	AS OF TODAY, THERE IS 17.4 MILLION

1	REMAINING IN THE CURE SICKLE CELL ALLOCATION AND
2	ABOUT \$350,000 REMAINING IN THE PROGRESSION AWARDS
3	ALLOCATION FOR A TOTAL OF 17.8 MILLION IN REMAINING
4	RESEARCH DOLLARS.
5	I ALSO WANTED TO POINT OUT AND NOTE THAT,
6	AS OF THE END OF NOVEMBER, THERE'S AN ADDITIONAL 8.8
7	MILLION IN UNCOMMITTED FUNDS DUE TO RETURNED FUNDS
8	FROM RETURNED FUNDS FROM OUR CURRENT ACTIVE
9	PORTFOLIO.
10	SO THIS NEXT SLIDE DISPLAYS OUR PROPOSED
11	REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL RESEARCH FUNDS FOR THE PERIOD
12	OF JANUARY THROUGH JUNE OF 2021. AND THIS IS TO
13	RESTART OUR CORE FUNDING PILLARS FOR CLINICAL,
14	TRANSLATION, AND QUEST.
15	TODAY DR. GIL SAMBRANO WILL BE PRESENTING
16	THE CONCEPT PLANS TO RESTART THESE CORE PROGRAMS.
17	AS YOU CAN SEE FROM THIS SLIDE, WE ARE REQUESTING
18	\$100 MILLION TO RELAUNCH OUR MONTHLY REOCCURRING
19	CYCLE OF THE CLINICAL PROGRAM OFFERING, 60 MILLION
20	TO OPEN THE TRANSLATION PROGRAM FOR ONE REVIEW
21	CYCLE, AND 22 MILLION TO RELAUNCH THE QUEST PROGRAM
22	FOR ONE REVIEW CYCLE. THE TOTAL PROPOSED ALLOCATION
23	TO FUND THESE NEW AWARDS OVER THE NEXT SIX MONTHS IN
24	THESE AREAS IS \$182 MILLION, WHICH WE ESTIMATE COULD
25	ADD ABOUT 36 NEW AWARDS TO THE CIRM PORTFOLIO.

1	in june of 2021, we plan to bring an
2	ANNUAL RESEARCH BUDGET FOR THIS COMMITTEE TO
3	CONSIDER IN CONCERT WITH ANY NEW CONCEPT PLANS ALONG
4	WITH THE STRATEGIC PLAN.
5	SO TODAY AT THIS TIME WE ARE REQUESTING
6	THE SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE APPROVE THIS RECOMMENDATION
7	TO THE ICOC FOR THIS ADDITIONAL \$182 MILLION TO
8	SUPPORT RESEARCH PROGRAMS AS PROPOSED FOR JANUARY
9	THROUGH JUNE OF 2021. AND THAT CONCLUDES MY
10	PRESENTATION, AND I'M HAPPY TO TAKE QUESTIONS ABOUT
11	THIS BUDGET.
12	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: THANK YOU. AND HAS THE
13	FINANCE COMMITTEE ACTED ON THIS YET?
14	MS. LEWIS: THE FINANCE COMMITTEE MET ON
15	TUESDAY TO REVIEW THE ADMINISTRATIVE AND OPERATIONS
16	BUDGET, BUT WE DID NOT DISCUSS THE RESEARCH BUDGET.
17	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: OKAY. THANK YOU. ANY
18	COMMENTS, QUESTIONS?
19	MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE HAD A COMMENT, BUT
20	HE IS ON MUTE. SO I JUST WANTED TO LET HIM KNOW.
21	MR. JUELSGAARD: I WAS JUST GOING TO SAY
22	TO OS, NOW THAT I'M NOT ON MUTE, THIS ISN'T REALLY
23	THE PROVINCE OF THE FINANCE COMMITTEE. IT'S MORE
24	THE ADMINISTRATIVE SIDE THAT WE DEAL WITH. THIS IS
25	JUST ALWAYS HANDLED BY THE SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE AND

1	THEN THE BOARD.
2	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MARIA, CAN I ADD A
3	COMMENT HERE?
4	MS. BONNEVILLE: YES. GO AHEAD. AND OS
5	IS ON MUTE. I SAW HIM ALSO TALKING. I'M READING
6	LIPS TODAY. IT'S PERFECT.
7	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SO JUST TO REVIEW THE
8	PROCESS FOR EVERYBODY, THE FUNDING WILL, OF COURSE,
9	COME FROM NEW BONDS ISSUED BY THE STATE. JENN AND I
10	TALKED TO THE STATE TREASURER'S OFFICE TO DISCUSS
11	THIS PROCESS A COUPLE OF WEEKS AGO. AND IT
12	BASICALLY WILL BE TO RESURRECT WHAT WE'VE DONE
13	HISTORICALLY, WHICH IS EVERY SIX MONTHS WE TALK TO
14	FIRST THE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE AND THE GOVERNOR'S
15	OFFICE TO LAY OUT WHAT WE BELIEVE WILL BE THE
16	FUNDING NEEDS FOR THE NEXT SIX MONTHS. AND ONCE
17	THEY SIGN OFF ON THAT, THEY THEN DIRECT THE STATE
18	TREASURER'S OFFICE TO ISSUE BONDS ON BEHALF OF CIRM,
19	WHICH IS DONE AS PART OF A SEMIANNUAL FUNDING
20	ISSUANCE THEY DO ON BEHALF OF ALL STATE AGENCIES
21	ONCE IN THE SPRING AND ONCE IN THE FALL. THOSE
22	FUNDS ARE THEN HELD FOR OUR PURPOSES AT THE STATE
23	LEVEL UNTIL SUCH TIME AS WE NEED TO DISBURSE THEM.
24	THE TIMING OF THIS WORKS OUT ACTUALLY VERY
25	WELL BECAUSE THE FIRST REVIEWS THAT WE BELIEVE WE

1	WILL HAVE IN THE CLIN AWARDS WILL BE IN MARCH, WHICH
2	WILL GO TO AN APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE IN
3	APRIL. AND AS THOSE AWARDS ARE MADE, THAT WILL
4	DOVETAIL VIRTUALLY PRECISELY WITH THE STATE
5	TREASURER'S ISSUANCE OF THE FIRST NEW TRANCHE OF
6	BONDS UNDER PROP 14 FOR THE AWARDS. AND SO THE
7	HUNDRED EIGHTY-TWO MILLION THAT JENN IS REQUESTING
8	WILL BE FUNDED OUT OF THAT.
9	AND SO ALL WE NEED TO DO HERE TO TRIGGER
10	THAT DISCUSSION IS TO APPROVE THIS MEASURE, WHICH
11	THEN, OF COURSE, WILL BE BROUGHT TO THE FULL BOARD
12	ON DECEMBER 21 FOR CONSIDERATION. BUT THIS IS SORT
13	OF GOING TO BE A VERY SEAMLESS PROCESS GIVEN THE
14	TIMING OF THE STATE'S BOND ISSUANCE, AND WE SHOULD
15	BE IN VERY GOOD SHAPE TO FUND EVERYTHING GOING
16	FORWARD.
17	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: GREAT. THANKS, J.T.
18	JENNIFER OR SOMEONE, COULD YOU SAY A WORD ABOUT HOW
19	THE SPECIFIC AMOUNT, THE TOTAL AMOUNT, AND THE
20	DIFFERENT BUCKETS WITHIN THAT AMOUNT WERE DERIVED?
21	MS. LEWIS: SURE. SO WE LOOKED BACK
22	HISTORICALLY AT OUR FUNDING CYCLES OVER THE PAST
23	SEVERAL YEARS AND CLIN AS WELL AS TRANSLATION AND
24	QUEST TO LOOK AT AVERAGE AWARD SIZE WITHIN THE AWARD
25	CAPS THAT DR. SAMBRANO WILL BE PRESENTING LATER AS

1	WELL AS HOW MANY APPLICATIONS WERE AWARDED OR DEEMED
2	MERITORIOUS AS WELL AS TAKING INTO CONSIDERATION WE
3	HAVEN'T HAD, SPECIFICALLY FOR TRANSLATION, A ROUND.
4	IT'S BEEN AWHILE. SO MAKING SURE THAT WE WERE
5	ESTIMATING THE DEMAND THAT COULD HAPPEN AS WELL.
6	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: OKAY. GOOD. THANK YOU
7	VERY MUCH.
8	QUESTIONS FROM OTHER MEMBERS OF THE
9	COMMITTEE? IF NOT, I THINK THIS IS AN ACTION ITEM.
10	SO IF WE COULD HAVE A MOTION TO APPROVE THIS
11	PROPOSAL TO GO FORWARD TO THE FULL BOARD FOR
12	CONSIDERATION.
13	MR. JUELSGAARD: OS, I MAKE THAT MOTION.
14	I MOVE THAT WE ACCEPT THIS PROPOSAL.
15	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: IS THERE A SECOND?
16	DR. DEAS: SECOND.
17	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: GOOD. SO ANY FURTHER
18	DISCUSSION? IF NOT, WE CAN ASK FOR PUBLIC COMMENT
19	IF THERE ARE ANY.
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: I DO NOT SEE ANY HANDS
21	RAISED. IF YOU HAVE PUBLIC COMMENT, IF YOU COULD
22	PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND. I DON'T SEE ANY, OS.
23	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: OKAY. EXCELLENT.
24	MARIA, COULD YOU CALL THE ROLL.
25	MS. BONNEVILLE: OS STEWARD.

1	,
1	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: YES.
2	MS. BONNEVILLE: DEBORAH DEAS.
3	DR. DEAS: YES.
4	MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
5	DR. DULIEGE: YES.
6	MS. BONNEVILLE: JUDY GASSON.
7	DR. GASSON: YES.
8	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
9	DR. HIGGINS: YES.
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE JUELSGAARD.
11	MR. JUELSGAARD: YES.
12	MS. BONNEVILLE: DR. MELMED. ART TORRES.
13	MR. TORRES: AYE.
14	MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
15	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
16	MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA VUORI. THE
17	MOTION CARRIES.
18	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: EXCELLENT. SO WE'LL
19	MOVE TO THE SECOND CONSIDERATION THAT WE NEED TO
20	HAVE TODAY, WHICH IS A PRESENTATION, I THINK, BY
21	GIL; IS THAT CORRECT?
22	MS. BONNEVILLE: IT IS.
23	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: EXCELLENT. I'LL TURN
24	THE MICROPHONE OVER TO YOU.
25	DR. SAMBRANO: THANK YOU, OS. SO GOOD
	10

1	AFTERNOON, EVERYBODY. AS YOU KNOW, WE HAVE HAD A
2	SET OF RECURRING DISCOVERY, TRANSLATIONAL, AND
3	CLINICAL FUNDING OPPORTUNITIES SINCE 2015 IN ORDER
4	TO SUPPORT STEM CELL-BASED RESEARCH. HOWEVER, IN
5	THE LAST COUPLE OF YEARS AND, DOUG, COULD YOU GO
6	TO THE NEXT SLIDE PLEASE? IN THE LAST COUPLE OF
7	YEARS, THERE HAVE BEEN LIMITED FUNDS IN THE
8	AVAILABLE BUDGET, AND SO CERTAINLY THAT HAS
9	PREVENTED US FROM OPENING SOME OF THOSE CORE
10	PROGRAMS. AND THEN WE'VE ALSO HAD SOME
11	MODIFICATIONS THAT WERE MADE IN RESPONSE TO THINGS
12	SUCH AS THE COVID-19 PROGRAM. BUT NOW WITH PROP 14
13	PASSING, WE HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO RESTART MANY OF
14	THESE PROGRAMS. AND SO WE WOULD LIKE TO BEGIN WITH
15	OUR CORE DISC, TRAN, AND CLIN PROGRAMS MUCH AS WE
16	HAD BEFORE ALL OF THAT HAPPENED WITH THE BUDGET
17	LIMITATIONS.
18	SO OUR GOAL IS TO RELAUNCH WITH ONLY THE
19	NECESSARY CHANGES SO THAT WE CAN START THEM UP AS
20	QUICKLY AS WE CAN. HOWEVER, JUST IN ANTICIPATION OF
21	WHAT WE PLAN FOR THE FUTURE, WE DO PLAN TO BRING
22	MORE COMPREHENSIVE CHANGES LATER ON THAT WOULD ALIGN
23	WITH THE STRATEGIC PLAN THAT WE WILL BRING TO YOU IN
24	JUNE OF NEXT YEAR.
25	SO NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. SO JUST AS PART OF

1	THE OVERVIEW, THE PROPOSED RELAUNCH WOULD ACCOMPLISH
2	A FEW THINGS. ONE, IT WOULD RESTORE SOME OF THE
3	FEATURES SUCH AS THE AWARD LIMITS. IN MANY CASES WE
4	DECREASED AMOUNTS. SO IT WOULD BRING THOSE BACK UP.
5	THE AWARD DURATION, IT WOULD EXTEND IT TO THE FULL
6	CAPACITY THAT WE HAD BEFORE. AND OTHER ELEMENTS
7	THAT WERE AVAILABLE PRIOR TO THE COVID-19 PROGRAM.
8	THE PROPOSED CONCEPTS WOULD AT THE SAME
9	TIME RETAIN MANY OF THE RECENT ELEMENTS THAT WERE
10	ADDED WHICH WE THOUGHT OFFERED GREAT VALUE, SUCH AS
11	ADDRESSING THE NEEDS OF THE UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES,
12	SO THAT WOULD BE RETAINED, AS WELL AS ADDING SOME
13	NEW REQUIREMENTS, SUCH AS THE DATA SHARING PLAN,
14	WHICH I WILL BRIEFLY DISCUSS. THE CHANGES ALSO IN
15	SOME CASES ALIGN THE CONCEPTS WITH PROP 14
16	REQUIREMENTS AND DEFINITIONS.
17	NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. SO LET ME JUST BEGIN
18	BY GIVING YOU THE OVERVIEW OF THE GLOBAL CHANGES
19	THAT ARE HAPPENING ACROSS ALL THE CONCEPTS. SO ONE
20	OF THOSE IS THE ADDITION OF A REQUIREMENT FOR ALL
21	APPLICANTS TO PROVIDE A DATA SHARING PLAN AND AN
22	ALLOWANCE FOR THEM TO INCLUDE RELATED COSTS IN THE
23	BUDGET.
24	AND SO HOW THIS WORKS IS THAT THE
25	APPLICANTS MUST IN THEIR PROPOSAL INCLUDE A PLAN

1	THAT DESCRIBES THE TYPE OF DATA SHARED, HOW IT WILL
2	BE COLLECTED, WHERE IT WILL BE DEPOSITED, THE
3	TIMELINE FOR THE COLLECTION AND DEPOSITING OF THAT
4	DATA, AND THE ASSOCIATED COSTS THAT WOULD GO WITH
5	THAT. AND SO THIS WILL BE SUBJECT TO GWG REVIEW
6	AND, THUS, WILL BE EVALUATED AS PART OF THE
7	APPLICATION.
8	AND SO THIS IS A BEGINNING TO WHAT WE HOPE
9	WILL BE MORE DEFINED REQUIREMENTS AROUND THE DATA
10	SHARING, BUT WE THOUGHT IT WAS AT LEAST IMPORTANT TO
11	BEGIN WITH A REQUIREMENT FOR APPLICANTS TO TELL US
12	WHAT THEIR DATA SHARING PLAN WOULD BE AND OBVIOUSLY
13	TO ENCOURAGE THE APPROPRIATE SHARING AND USE OF
14	DATA.
15	NEXT, WE ARE ALSO REMOVING A REQUIREMENT
16	TO DEEM GENE THERAPY PROJECTS A VITAL RESEARCH
17	OPPORTUNITY BY THE GWG. SO THE REASON FOR THIS IS
18	THAT UNDER PROP 14, THE SCOPE OVER WHICH CIRM HAS
19	AUTHORITY HAS EXPANDED. SO IT NOW INCLUDES GENE
20	THERAPY. AND SO, THEREFORE, WE DON'T NEED THE VITAL
21	RESEARCH OPPORTUNITY COMPONENT TO BE WEIGHED IN BY
22	THE GWG FOR THAT. SO WE ARE STRIKING THAT OUT. AND
23	THEN ALSO JUST BROADLY INCLUDING GENE THERAPY
24	PROJECTS AS IN SCOPE FOR CIRM FUNDING MUCH IN THE
25	SAME WAY AS GENERAL STEM CELL PROJECTS ARE ALLOWED.

1	WE ARE ALSO ADDING WHERE II WAS NOT
2	PREVIOUSLY INCLUDED THE REQUIREMENT TO ADDRESS THE
3	NEEDS OF THE UNDERSERVED RACIAL/ETHNIC COMMUNITIES
4	THROUGHOUT THESE CONCEPTS.
5	NEXT SLIDE PLEASE, DOUG. SO THESE CHANGES
6	ARE SPECIFIC TO THE DISC2 PROGRAM. SO THE DISC2
7	PROGRAM, AS YOU MAY REMEMBER, THE DISCOVERY AWARDS
8	ARE INTENDED TO IDENTIFY A CANDIDATE THERAPEUTIC, A
9	MEDICAL DEVICE, DIAGNOSTIC, OR TOOL. SO HERE SOME
10	OF THE CHANGES ARE RELATED TO THE COST. SO WE ARE
11	RESTORING THE DIRECT PROJECT COSTS TO ALLOW UP TO
12	900,000 FOR THERAPEUTIC CANDIDATES AND UP TO 500,000
13	FOR A DIAGNOSTIC, DEVICE, OR A TOOL. WE ARE
14	RESTORING THE DURATION TO 24 MONTHS. WE PREVIOUSLY
15	HAD THAT AT 12 MONTHS. WE ARE RESTORING THE PI
16	PERCENT EFFORT REQUIREMENT TO 20 PERCENT. AND ALSO
17	UPDATING THE PROJECT INITIATION TO BE WITHIN 60 DAYS
18	OF APPROVAL. OUR LESSONS IN BEING ABLE TO BE A
19	LITTLE BIT FASTER DURING OUR PROCESS HAS ALLOWED US
20	TO ACTUALLY IMPROVE UPON THAT. SO WE ARE MAKING THE
21	PROJECT INITIATION TIME SHORTER THAN IT WAS IN THE
22	PAST.
23	NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. FOR THE TRAN PROGRAM
24	THERE'S NOT MUCH THAT IS CHANGING IN THE CONCEPT
25	DOCUMENTS SIMPLY BECAUSE WE REALLY DIDN'T MAKE THAT

1	MANY CHANGES AS II WAS NOT OFFERED. WE ARE MAKING
2	THE SAME UPDATE TO THE PROJECT INITIATION TIMELINE,
3	SHORTENING THAT UP A BIT, AND THEN ALSO JUST MAKING
4	SURE THAT WE ARE OFFERING ALL FOUR FLAVORS OF THE
5	TRAN PROGRAM. THAT MEANS THAT WE WILL ALLOW FUNDING
6	FOR THERAPEUTICS, DEVICES, DIAGNOSTICS, AND TOOLS,
7	WHICH EACH OF THOSE, 1, 2, 3, 4, REPRESENT.
8	NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. FINALLY, THE PROPOSED
9	CHANGES TO THE CLINICAL PROGRAM. WE WOULD BE
10	LAUNCHING CLIN1, WHICH IS FOR IND-ENABLING WORK;
11	CLIN2, WHICH SUPPORTS CLINICAL TRIALS; AND CLIN3,
12	WHICH SPORTS ACTIVITIES FOR REGISTRATION AND
13	APPROVAL OF A THERAPY FOR THOSE THAT HAVE AN
14	EXISTING CLIN2. AND MOST OF THESE CHANGES FALL ON
15	CLIN2.
16	HERE WE WOULD BE RESTORING THE AWARD
17	LIMITS. AND SO THERE IS A TABLE THAT LISTS THOSE IN
18	THE CONCEPT DOCUMENT THAT WAS DISTRIBUTED, BUT THOSE
19	ARE RESTORED TO WHAT WE HAD BEFORE. WE MORE
20	RECENTLY DECREASED THE AWARD AMOUNTS TO ABOUT 75
21	PERCENT OF WHAT WE HAD. SO WE ARE GOING BACK TO A
22	HUNDRED PERCENT. THE AWARD DURATION IS RESTORED TO
23	A MAXIMUM OF FOUR YEARS. WE HAD DECREASED THAT TO
24	THREE YEARS SINCE WE DID NOT KNOW WHETHER WE WOULD
25	HAVE A WINDOW TO MANAGE AWARDS BEYOND 2023. PROJECT

1	INITIATION HAS BEEN RESTORED TO 45 DAYS FROM
2	APPROVAL BY THE BOARD. AND WE ARE PROPOSING THE
3	CHANGE OF PERCENT EFFORT FOR PROJECT MANAGER TO 50
4	PERCENT FOR THESE CLIN2 AWARDS. WE PREVIOUSLY HAD
5	THIS AT 75 PERCENT, BUT OUR EXPERIENCE OVER THE LAST
6	SEVERAL YEARS OF MANAGING THESE AWARDS SUGGESTS THAT
7	50 PERCENT IS MORE THAN ADEQUATE FOR THIS. SO WE
8	WENT AHEAD AND PROPOSED THAT CHANGE.
9	AND THEN WE ALSO HAVE A CLARIFICATION.
10	THE LANGUAGE IN SOME PLACES IS UNCLEAR. AND
11	SPECIFICALLY IN ONE AREA WITH REGARDS TO THERAPEUTIC
12	ELIGIBILITY, WE JUST WANT TO MAKE CLEAR THAT
13	MINIMALLY MANIPULATED BONE MARROW, CORD BLOOD, OR
14	UNMODIFIED HSC'S ARE ELIGIBLE FOR PHASE 2 OR PHASE 3
15	CLINICAL TRIALS.
16	NEXT SLIDE. SO THAT'S THE SUMMARY OF THE
17	OVERALL CHANGES. AS MENTIONED, THE CONCEPT
18	DOCUMENTS WITH THOSE TRACK CHANGES WERE DISTRIBUTED.
19	SO I'M HAPPY TO ADDRESS ANY OF THOSE QUESTIONS THAT
20	YOU MAY HAVE, BUT OUR REQUEST TO YOU IS SIMPLY TO
21	APPROVE THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS FOR DISC, TRAN, AND
22	CLIN SO THAT WE CAN MOVE THEM ON TO THE FULL BOARD.
23	DR. DEAS: YES. I HAVE NOT NECESSARILY A
24	QUESTION. CAN YOU HEAR ME?
25	DR. SAMBRANO: YES.

1	DR. DEAS: THIS IS DEBORAH DEAS. IN
2	LOOKING AT SOME OF THE CHANGES AND THE ADDITIONS,
3	ESPECIALLY THE ONE RELATED TO ADDRESSING NEEDS OF
4	UNDERSERVED RACIAL AND ETHNIC COMMUNITIES IMPACT, I
5	REALLY THINK THAT WE SHOULD, THROUGH A LENS OF
6	DIVERSITY, EQUITY, AND INCLUSION, GO A STEP FURTHER;
7	WHEREAS, THE INVESTIGATORS SHOULD LIST IN THE
8	APPLICATION HOW THEY'RE GOING TO ADDRESS THE NEEDS
9	OF UNDERREPRESENTED COMMUNITIES OF WHAT WOULD BE THE
10	IMPACT. HOWEVER, I WOULD LIKE TO SEE THE EXTENT
11	THAT THEY ARE ENGAGING PEOPLE FROM UNDERREPRESENTED
12	BACKGROUNDS IN THE WORK THAT THEY DO EITHER AS PI,
13	CO-I, STAFF, AND OTHERS.
14	AND THEN I'D LIKE TO SEE EVEN IN THE
15	APPLICATION THAT WE BEGIN TO LOOK AT SOME OF THE
16	DEMOGRAPHIC DATA OF THOSE INDIVIDUALS INVOLVED SO
17	THAT WE ENSURE THAT WE ARE PROMOTING DIVERSITY,
18	EQUITY, AND INCLUSION.
19	MR. TORRES: WHAT LANGUAGE WOULD YOU
20	SUGGEST, DEAN?
21	DR. DEAS: WELL, NIH HAS SOME LANGUAGE,
22	AND NIH ACTUALLY YOU HAVE TO YOU LIST SOME OF THE
23	DEMOGRAPHICS AS WELL. SO WE MAY BE ABLE TO LOOK TO
24	THE INSTITUTES TO GET SOME OF THE LANGUAGE AND SORT
25	OF FOLLOW THAT.

1	ADDITIONALLY, WHAT I HAD IN MIND, NIH ALSO
2	HAS PROGRAMS WHERE WE CAN IMPLEMENT SUPPLEMENT
3	GRANTS TO AWARDEES THAT COME FROM UNDERREPRESENTED
4	BACKGROUNDS IF THEY CAN ALIGN WITH A MAJOR GRANT
5	THAT WE HAVE.
6	I THINK CIRM MAY WANT TO TAKE NOTICE OF
7	THAT AS WELL IN ORDER TO IMPROVE OUR DIVERSITY,
8	EQUITY, AND INCLUSION.
9	MR. TORRES: MR. CHAIRMAN?
10	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: THANK YOU. YES.
11	QUESTION, COMMENT? ART.
12	MR. TORRES: I WOULD LIKE TO SEE US
13	INCORPORATE THOSE SUGGESTIONS AND INCORPORATE THE
14	ENDEAVORS TO SEARCH OUT FOR THE APPROPRIATE LANGUAGE
15	IN THIS AREA NOT ONLY BECAUSE I BELIEVE THAT WE HAVE
16	BEEN LACKING IN DIVERSITY, ESPECIALLY WITH PI'S
17	ACROSS THE STATE, BUT ALSO SOMETHING THAT I PICKED
18	UP DURING THE CAMPAIGN AS I VISITED WITH VARIOUS
19	GROUPS, ESPECIALLY LATINO AND AFRICAN-AMERICAN AND
20	NATIVE AMERICANS, FOR THAT MATTER, TO GET THEIR
21	SUPPORT FOR PROP 14, OF COURSE ON MY OWN TIME AND ON
22	WEEKENDS. BUT THE REAL ISSUE WAS MISTRUST, AND
23	ESPECIALLY FROM THE AFRICAN-AMERICAN, LATINO
24	COMMUNITIES, MISTRUST OF THE SCIENCE, MISTRUST OF
25	WHO'S DOING THE SCIENCE, AND MISTRUST IN THE END

1	PRODUCT. AND WE'RE SEEING THAT NOW WITH COVID, THE
2	VACCINE UTILIZATION AND HOW WE HAVE TO REACH OUT
3	I'M GLAD THAT PRESIDENT OBAMA AND BUSH AND CLINTON
4	ARE GOING TO DO THEIR VACCINATIONS AS SOON AS
5	POSSIBLE. BUT AT THE END OF THE DAY, WE HAVE TO
6	SHOW SOME COMMITMENT BECAUSE A LOT OF THESE
7	COMMUNITIES SUPPORTED US BY REISSUING PROP 14. WE
8	HAVE TO SHOW THAT WE UNDERSTOOD AND HEARD THEIR
9	CONCERNS AND THEIR MESSAGES BY IMPLEMENTING LANGUAGE
10	THAT EVEN IF THE NIH ALREADY HAS, WE SHOULD BE
11	HAVING AS WELL. THAT'S MY SUGGESTION.
12	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: THANK YOU. OTHER
13	COMMENTS?
14	DR. GASSON: I ACTUALLY HAVE A QUESTION
15	FOR GIL. I UNDERSTAND HOW GENE THERAPY IS NOW IN
16	SCOPE OF PROP 14 AND IT NO LONGER NEEDS THE VITAL
17	RESEARCH OPPORTUNITY EXCEPTION. MY QUESTION IS
18	GOING FORWARD WILL WE STILL ENTERTAIN PROPOSALS TO
19	FUND VITAL RESEARCH OPPORTUNITIES? AND IF SO, CAN
20	YOU GIVE ME AN EXAMPLE OF WHAT ONE WOULD BE?
21	DR. SAMBRANO: YES. SO OUR AUTHORITY
22	STILL ALLOWS FOR VITAL RESEARCH OPPORTUNITY
23	CONSIDERATION OF OTHER AREAS, BUT USUALLY HOW WE
24	HAVE WORKED THAT IS THAT WE BRING CONCEPTS ABOUT AN
25	AREA OF RESEARCH THAT COULD BE A VITAL RESEARCH

1	OPPORTUNITY TO YOU, TO THE BOARD; AND IF WE GET
2	APPROVAL, THEN WE WOULD INCLUDE IT AS PART OF OUR
3	SCOPE WITHIN SOME OR ALL OF OUR FUNDING OPPORTUNITY
4	PROGRAMS.
5	DR. GASSON: THANK YOU, GIL.
6	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: OKAY. YEAH. SO, MARIA
7	MILLAN, I THINK THAT YOU MIGHT HAVE A COMMENT
8	RELATED TO THIS ISSUE. IF WE COULD ASK YOU TO
9	DR. MILLAN: THANK YOU, DR. STEWARD. IT'S
10	MARIA MILLAN. I WANTED TO, FIRST OF ALL, THANK THE
11	BOARD FOR SO MUCH INPUT INTO THIS VERY IMPORTANT
12	TOPIC OF DIVERSITY, EQUITY, AND INCLUSION. AND TO
13	ALSO LET YOU KNOW THAT IN THE MIDST OF OUR STRATEGIC
14	PLANNING, WE'RE TRYING TO WORK OUT A WAY THAT THIS
15	IS AS MUCH AS THIS PROBLEM IS SYSTEMIC, THAT WE
16	ACTUALLY EMBED IT IN ALL ASPECTS OF HOW WE DO
17	BUSINESS. SO YOU'LL START TO SEE SOME OF THAT
18	COMING FORWARD IN A LOT OF WAYS.
19	BUT TO ADDRESS THE IMMEDIATE COMMENTS OF
20	DR. DEAS, ABSOLUTELY. AND THE STANDARDS, BOTH FROM
21	NIH AND THE FDA REGARDING THESE TOPICS THAT HAVE
22	BEEN BROUGHT FORWARD BY LEADERSHIP, INCLUDING DR.
23	ABLA CREASEY, WHO'S OUR HEAD OF THERAPEUTICS, AND
24	WE'RE UNDER WAY WITH OUR OPERATIONAL TEAM. WE ARE
25	ABLE TO, EVEN UNDER THE CURRENT REQUIREMENTS FOR

1	REPORTING, ABLE TO MAKE SURE THAT WE IMPROVE OUR WAY
2	OF CAPTURING THE INFORMATION, THE DEMOGRAPHICS, AND
3	ALL THAT YOU HAVE STATED AS VERY IMPORTANT. WE HAVE
4	SOME OF IT, IT'S NOT AS COMPLETE AS IT COULD BE AND
5	IT'S NOT AS DEEP AS IT COULD BE, SO WE'RE WORKING
6	OPERATIONALLY ALREADY TO IMPROVE UPON THAT WITH
7	JENNIFER LEWIS AND GRANTS MANAGEMENT.
8	AND THEN REGARDING WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT,
9	AND EQUITY AND DIVERSITY IN THE WORKPLACE,
10	ABSOLUTELY NO. 1 TOP PRIORITY FOR US. JUST SOME
11	IMPORTANT EXAMPLES OF HOW WE CONTINUE TO INSTITUTE
12	THIS, BUT RECENTLY I'VE HAD FEEDBACK FROM OUR
13	GRANTEES THAT THEY ARE REALLY VERY IMPRESSED BY HOW
14	OUR CIRM TEAM WAS VERY, VERY STRICT. EVEN IN OUR
15	PAST GRANTEE MEETING, THEY REEVALUATED THEIR
16	SPEAKERS BECAUSE THERE WERE SOME FOLKS THAT YOU KIND
17	OF IMMEDIATELY GO TO TO INVITE AS SPEAKERS. AND
18	THEY SAID, BECAUSE OF CIRM, AND THESE WERE WOMEN
19	MINORITIES, THEY SAID, I FORGOT THAT WE SHOULD LOOK
20	AT THIS. SO ALL OF US NEED TO BE REMINDED IN
21	EVERYTHING WE DO TO MAKE SURE THAT WE HAVE
22	APPROPRIATE REPRESENTATION EVEN WITHIN OUR PI'S, OUR
23	STUDENTS, AND EVERYTHING ELSE.
24	SO SENATOR TORRES HAS BEEN PUSHING US ON
25	THIS FOR A VERY LONG TIME, ALWAYS REMINDS US, AND

	,
1	WE'RE TAKING IT VERY SERIOUSLY. BUT YOU WILL SEE
2	SOME MORE ROLLOUT AS YOU START SEEING KIND OF THE
3	STRATEGIC CONCEPTS THE IDEA THIS SHOULD BE WOVEN
4	INTO THE FABRIC OF EVERYTHING WE DO AT CIRM FROM
5	OPERATIONS, POTENTIAL AWARDS, THE WAY WE CONDUCT
6	RESEARCH, AND TO THE TYPE OF WORKFORCE AND
7	LEADERSHIP WE ARE BUILDING BECAUSE OF OUR PROGRAMS.
8	THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO
9	SHARE THAT.
10	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: THANK YOU, MARIA.
11	THAT'S GREAT.
12	DR. DEAS: THANK YOU.
13	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: SO ARE THERE ANY OTHER
14	COMMENTS ALONG THESE LINES?
15	MR. JUELSGAARD: OS, I HAVE A COMMENT
16	ABOUT THE DATA SHARING WHENEVER WE GET TO THAT.
17	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: SURE. NOW IS AS GOOD A
18	TIME.
19	MR. JUELSGAARD: SO I'D LIKE TO KNOW,
20	FIRST OF ALL, WHAT GAVE GENESIS TO WRITING THIS
21	PARAGRAPH ON DATA SHARING. WHO DECIDED THAT WE
22	NEEDED TO DO THAT AND WHY?
23	DR. MILLAN: DO YOU WANT ME TO ANSWER
24	THAT, OS?
25	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: YES, PLEASE.

1	DR. MILLAN: SO IN THIS PROCESS THAT WE'RE
2	GOING THROUGH, THIS PROCESS OF STRATEGIC THINKING
3	AND CONCEPTS THAT WE'RE DEVELOPING WITH OUR BOARD,
4	EXTERNAL STAKEHOLDERS, AND THROUGH OUR OWN DILIGENCE
5	IN TERMS OF WHAT'S OUT THERE IN THE WORLD, ONE OF
6	THE THINGS THAT'S FRONT AND CENTER IS THAT, IN ORDER
7	TO REALLY GAIN TRUE ACCELERATION AND VALUE IN THE
8	RESEARCH WE FUND, WE JUST NEED TO DO BETTER IN TERMS
9	OF FORMING THESE KNOWLEDGE NETWORKS AND CAPTURING
10	THE DATA AND MAKING IT IN A WAY THAT'S ACCEPTABLE TO
11	ALL PARTIES AND, OF COURSE, PROTECTS PRIVACY AND ALL
12	OF THOSE TYPES OF THINGS, BUT THAT WE ARE JUST NOT
13	AS GOOD AS A COMMUNITY, AS A SCIENTIFIC COMMUNITY,
14	IN BEING ABLE AND HAVING THE DISCIPLINE. EVEN NIH
15	HAS A STRATEGIC PLAN RELATED TO DATA SHARING JUST
16	BECAUSE THEY KNOW THAT THIS IS ABSOLUTELY CRUCIAL.
17	SO IT'S SOMETHING THAT HAS COME UP IN A
18	SPECIFIC PANEL THAT DR. KEITH YAMAMOTO FROM OUR
19	BOARD ACTUALLY LED AT THE RECENT GRANTEE MEETING,
20	WHICH WAS EXCELLENT. WE HAD LEADERS FROM AROUND THE
21	COUNTRY, FROM EX-FDA-ERS, TO PRIVATE INDUSTRY,
22	VERILY FROM THE PRIVATE SECTOR, ACADEMIA, PATIENT
23	ADVOCATES, THOSE WHO ARE SPECIFICALLY FOCUSED ON
24	DIVERSITY AND RESEARCH. ALL OF THEM WERE ON THE
25	PANEL FROM THE DUKE RESEARCH POLICY MARGOLIS CENTER

1	AT DUKE.
2	SO THE WHOLE PANEL HAD JUST GIVEN A VERY
3	STRONG CASE FOR HOW, NOT ONLY IS THIS SOMETHING WE
4	SHOULD DO, IT'S SOMETHING THAT IS ABSOLUTELY
5	CRITICAL IN RESEARCH TODAY, ESPECIALLY WITH THE TYPE
6	OF INFORMATION THAT CIRM GRANTEES ARE GENERATING.
7	WE EACH CARRY, I DON'T KNOW, I THINK HE SAID THREE
8	OR FOUR TERABYTES OF DATA, EACH OF US HAVE THREE OR
9	FOUR TERABYTES OF DATA THAT ARE OUT THERE IN THE
10	ETHER SPACE. AND IF THERE IS A BETTER WAY THAT WE
11	CAN GET THIS TOGETHER, THAT IT WILL BRING THINGS
12	FORWARD IN TERMS OF OUR ABILITY TO SOLVE THE
13	PROBLEMS BECAUSE WHAT HAPPENS IS THERE'S ALL OF
14	THESE INFORMATIONS IN DIFFERENT SILOS.
15	SO, OF COURSE, WE'RE NOT GOING TO SOLVE
16	THE WHOLE THING, BUT WHAT WE CAN DO IS APPLY
17	DISCIPLINE TO THE RESEARCH WE DO FUND. THERE ARE
18	ALREADY EXISTING REPOSITORIES THAT EXIST AND ARE
19	LARGE REPOSITORIES WHERE PEOPLE SHOULD BE PUTTING
20	THEIR INFORMATION, BUT MAYBE THERE'S NO STRUCTURE OR
21	ACCOUNTABILITY FOR DOING THAT. SO THIS IS THE FIRST
22	STEP. IT'S NOT PRESCRIPTIVE. IT SIMPLY SAYS TO
23	START OFF WITH, GIVE US THE BEST PLAN FOR HOW YOUR
24	DATA IS GOING TO BE SHARED AND WHERE IT'S GOING TO
25	GO. IT SHOULD BE INFORMATIVE. AND THEN FROM THERE,

1	WE CAN COME UP WITH A RATIONAL PLAN WITH MORE INPUT
2	FROM ALL OF THE DIFFERENT AREAS OF EXPERTISE THAT'S
3	NEEDED TO BE BROUGHT FORWARD TO THE BOARD IN THE
4	EVOLUTION OF THIS WHOLE KIND OF IDEALISTIC, BUT
5	NECESSARY AND ACHIEVABLE IF WE DO IT, GOAL OF DATA
6	SHARING AND BEING BETTER ABOUT USING ALL OF THE DATA
7	GENERATED TO BRING RESEARCH FORWARD FOR THE ENTIRE
8	COMMUNITY.
9	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: I CAN JUST ADD TO THAT
10	ACTUALLY, MARIA. ONE OF THE THINGS THAT I THINK WAS
11	MAYBE A TRIGGER FOR CONTINUING DISCUSSION ON THIS
12	WAS OUR MEETING LAST YEAR, THE TOWN HALL MEETING,
13	WHERE THIS WHOLE ISSUE OF DATA SHARING CAME UP. AND
14	THERE WAS A VERY STRONG FEELING AT THAT MEETING
15	ABOUT THE IMPORTANCE OF DATA SHARING AND WHAT WAS
16	BEING LOST BECAUSE OF THE LACK OF IT.
17	I THINK THAT I MIGHT HAVE SAID AT ONE
18	POINT WHEN SOMEBODY KIND OF RAISED AN ISSUE, I
19	BELIEVE I SAID, "WELL, IF INVESTIGATORS WANT TO TAKE
20	CIRM MONEY, THEN THIS IS ONE OF THE CONDITIONS THAT
21	IS A REASONABLE CONDITION." SO IT HAS A MUCH DEEPER
22	HISTORY, I THINK, EVEN THAN FROM THE TIME OF
23	DR. MILLAN: ABSOLUTELY. THAT'S
24	ABSOLUTELY TRUE. THAT WAS A GWG FEEDBACK MEETING.
25	AND, YES, OS, YOU DID SAY THAT, AND WE TAKE IT VERY

1	SERIOUSLY AND WE CONTINUED ON THROUGH THE STRATEGIC
2	CONCEPTS SPACE.
3	MR. JUELSGAARD: SO MY BIGGEST CONCERN IS
4	IN THE AREA OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND
5	CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION. THAT DOESN'T SEEM TO BE
6	DISTINGUISHED HERE IN THE DATA SHARING PLAN, THAT
7	THERE'S AN EXPECTATION THAT ANYTHING THAT A PARTY
8	CONSIDERS TO BE THEIR CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION OR
9	THEIR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, THERE'S NO EVEN THOUGHT
10	OF SHARING THAT DATA. SO TO CARVE THAT OUT RIGHT UP
11	FRONT BECAUSE OTHERWISE I SEE THIS AS A DISINCENTIVE
12	TO A NUMBER OF PARTIES WHO, IN ORDER TO BE ABLE TO
13	DEVELOP COMPOUNDS, AND THIS IS PARTICULARLY TRUE IN
14	THOSE CLIN AWARDS AND ALSO TO SOME EXTENT IN THE
15	TRANSLATIONAL AWARDS, IF YOU'RE IN THE POSITION OF
16	HAVING TO RELEASE WHAT YOU CONSIDER TO BE VERY
17	CONFIDENTIAL DATA THAT BECOMES PUBLIC INFORMATION,
18	YOU DON'T WANT TO GO THERE. YOU DON'T WANT TO TAKE
19	ANY MONEY THAT PROVIDES FOR THAT.
20	SO THAT'S MY BIGGEST CONCERN SEEING THIS
21	DATA SHARING PLAN LANGUAGE IS THERE'S NOT A
22	CARVE-OUT FOR CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION OR OTHER
23	RELATED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY STYLE INFORMATION.
24	DR. MILLAN: IF I MAY JUST COMMENT ON
25	THAT, DR. STEWARD, IS THAT OKAY?

1	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: YES, PLEASE. I WAS
2	GOING TO CALL ON YOU ANYWAY.
3	DR. MILLAN: SOME OF THE BOARD MEMBERS
4	WANTED TO SPEAK. IS THAT OKAY?
5	SO THERE ARE AND IT'S IN THE LANGUAGE
6	IN THE RFA THAT IT ABIDES BY THE F-A-I-R PRINCIPLES
7	THAT NIH ALSO USES. AND THERE ARE ACTUAL STANDARD
8	PRACTICES AND POLICIES THAT HAVE BEEN DEVELOPED IN
9	DATA SHARING. AND THE MOST RECENT EXAMPLES OF THAT
10	ARE IN THE COVID CRISIS WITH ALL THE PHARMA, THERE'S
11	THE GATES FOUNDATION PARTNERSHIP ALONG WITH ALL THE
12	MAJOR PHARMA PLAYERS IN THE COVID RESEARCH. SO
13	THOSE ACCOUNT FOR THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ISSUES
14	THAT ALLOW THE INVESTIGATORS TO PROTECT THEIR
15	INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY WHILE STILL SHARING
16	INFORMATION.
17	SO THE WAY THIS IS CRAFTED, AS GIL HAS
18	SAID, IT'S NOT PRESCRIPTIVE IN ORDER THAT THE
19	INDIVIDUAL APPLICANT AT THIS STAGE, WE CALL IT THE
20	PHASE 1 ROLLOUT OR THE PRE-ROLLOUT, OF ANY TYPE OF
21	DATA SHARING WILL BE ABLE TO, AS LONG AS THEY GIVE A
22	REASONABLE PLAN, CHOOSE THEIR WAY OF HOW THEY DO
23	DEPOSIT THEIR DATA, BUT WE'LL KNOW WHERE IT IS. SO
24	WE'LL HAVE A TABLE OF CONTENTS, INDEX, WHAT HAVE
25	YOU, AT LEAST TO START OFF WITH THAT SHOULD INFORM A
	27

1	BETTER WAY OF BEING ABLE TO CREATE THIS KNOWLEDGE
2	NETWORK.
3	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: THANK YOU, DR. MILLAN.
4	ANY OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS ALONG
5	THOSE LINES?
6	MR. JUELSGAARD: JUST SO I UNDERSTAND
7	THEN, JUST TO TAKE IT DOWN TO A VERY PRACTICAL
8	LEVEL, IF SOMEBODY WRITES IN THEIR DATA SHARING PLAN
9	THAT THEY HAVE NO INTENTION OF SHARING ANYTHING THEY
10	DEEM TO BE CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION OR OTHERWISE
11	PROTECTABLE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, THAT WILL BE
12	FINE, RIGHT?
13	DR. MILLAN: YES. THEY NEED TO PROTECT
14	THEMSELVES.
15	MR. JUELSGAARD: OKAY. GOOD.
16	DR. MILLAN: THERE ARE ALREADY DATA
17	SHARING REQUIREMENTS, PERIOD, FROM DOING ANYTHING.
18	IF THEY HAVE AN IND, THEY HAVE TO DEPOSIT THEIR
19	DATA. THE NIH, IF THEY HAVE ANY NIH FUNDING, THEY
20	NEED TO SHARE THEIR DATA. SO WE ARE JUST TRYING TO
21	MAKE STRIDES FORWARD IN TERMS OF CREATING WAYS THAT
22	IT'S ACTUALLY DONE AND IT'S DONE IN A MORE ORGANIZED
23	FASHION THAT'S USEFUL TO EVERYBODY. THAT'S THE
24	INTENT.
25	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: RIGHT. I THINK THAT

1	ONE OF THE THINGS THAT THIS WHOLE INITIATIVE IS
2	MEANT TO ACHIEVE IS AN AMPLIFICATION OF THE IMPACT
3	OF THE DATA THAT PEOPLE ARE GENERATING. IN TERMS OF
4	OUTCOMES OF CLINICAL TRIALS, WE STILL HAVE A
5	SITUATION WHERE A LOT OF DATA FROM FAILED CLINICAL
6	TRIALS ARE NOT SHARED. THAT DAMAGES THE FIELD. WE
7	ARE LOSING A TON OF UNDERSTANDING BY NOT HAVING SOME
8	BETTER WAY OF SEEING THOSE THINGS. BUT I THINK,
9	AGAIN, THAT THOSE ARE SORT OF THE NUTS AND BOLTS OF
10	ISSUES THAT WILL HAVE TO BE WORKED OUT GOING FORWARD
11	AS PEOPLE KIND OF BEGIN TO ADAPT TO THIS NEW
12	PARADIGM.
13	MR. JUELSGAARD: THERE COULD BE A LOT OF
14	VALUE IN A FAILED CLINICAL TRIAL THAT YOU DON'T WANT
15	TO DISCLOSE BECAUSE IT POINTS YOU IN A DIFFERENT
16	DIRECTION FOR THE NEXT CLINICAL TRIAL, ET CETERA.
17	SO IT'S A LINE THAT HAS TO BE WALKED. I WOULDN'T
18	NECESSARILY SAY IF YOU HAVE CLINICAL TRIALS, THE
19	DATA HAS TO BE DISCLOSED BECAUSE THERE CAN BE A LOT
20	OF VALUABLE PROPRIETARY INFORMATION CONTAINED WITHIN
21	THAT FAILED CLINICAL TRIAL.
22	DR. MILLAN: DEFINITELY ISSUES THAT NEED
23	TO ALL BE WORKED OUT, BUT I THINK IT CAN BE DONE.
24	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: YEAH. OKAY. ANY
25	FURTHER DISCUSSION, QUESTIONS, COMMENTS?

1	OUR ACTION ITEM, I THINK, WAS ON THE LAST
2	SLIDE THAT GIL SHOWED, WHICH IS TO HAVE A VOTE TO
3	PASS THIS CONCEPT PLAN ON TO THE BOARD FOR
4	CONSIDERATION AT THE DECEMBER MEETING.
5	MR. TORRES: POINT OF ORDER. DO I NEED TO
6	INCORPORATE A MOTION TO THIS REPORT THAT DEAN DEAS
7	AND I TALKED ABOUT, OR IS IT JUST UNDERSTOOD THAT
8	STAFF UNDERSTANDS THAT THAT'S WHAT AT LEAST SOME OF
9	OUR BOARD MEMBERS PREFER?
10	DR. DEAS: I WOULD PREFER WE HAVE A MOTION
11	AND WE GET THAT IN THERE IN ADDITION TO WHAT I
12	STATED AND ART. I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE SURE THAT, AS
13	WE ARE SCORING THESE AWARDS, THAT THIS IS INCLUDED
14	IN THE SCORING PROCESS AS WELL. IT CERTAINLY
15	INCENTIVIZES THE PI'S TO DO MORE.
16	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: OKAY. I THINK THAT'S
17	GREAT, AND THANK YOU FOR BRINGING IT UP, DR. DEAS.
18	I GUESS I'M A LITTLE CONFUSED ABOUT HOW WE PROCEED
19	PROCEDURALLY HERE, SO TO SPEAK. ART OR MAYBE JAMES,
20	CAN YOU HELP US UNDERSTAND? SHOULD THERE BE A
21	MOTION TO MODIFY BEFORE WE CONSIDER THE WHOLE THING?
22	WHAT IS OUR APPROPRIATE STEP HERE?
23	MR. HARRISON: OS, SINCE THERE'S NO MOTION
24	ON THE TABLE AT THE MOMENT, DR. DEAS OR SENATOR
25	TORRES ARE FREE TO MAKE A MOTION TO RECOMMEND

1	APPROVAL OF THE CHANGES TO THE CONCEPT PLANS WITH
2	THE INCORPORATION OF LANGUAGE SPECIFYING THAT
3	APPLICANTS NEED TO INCLUDE INFORMATION AND
4	DEMOGRAPHIC DATA REGARDING BOTH UNDERSERVED
5	COMMUNITIES THAT THEY INTEND TO SERVE AS WELL AS
6	REPRESENTATION FROM UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES AS PART
7	OF THE RESEARCH TEAM.
8	DR. DEAS: RIGHT. GREAT. NICELY
9	RESTATED. AND ALSO ADD THAT THIS WILL BE A PART OF
10	THE SCORING TOO.
11	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: OKAY. THANK YOU.
12	DR. DEAS: SO MOVED.
13	MR. TORRES: I SECOND THAT MOTION BY DEAN
14	DEAS.
15	MR. JUELSGAARD: WHEN YOU SAY IT'S GOING
16	TO BE PART OF THE SCORING, HOW DO YOU INTEND THAT TO
17	WORK?
18	DR. DEAS: WELL, WITH THE SCORING, THERE
19	IS DIFFERENT SECTIONS, I'M SUPPOSING. SO I THINK
20	THERE SHOULD BE A SECTION ON DIVERSITY, EQUITY, AND
21	INCLUSION ON ALL OF THESE GRANTS.
22	MR. JUELSGAARD: DO YOU THINK A GRANTS
23	WORKING GROUP IS THE RIGHT LEVEL AT WHICH THESE
24	DECISIONS SHOULD BE MADE? ARE THEY REALLY COMPETENT
25	TO WEIGH IN ON THAT SORT OF THING? THIS, FOR ME, IS

1	ALMOST AN ICOC ISSUE, NOT SO MUCH THE GRANTS
2	WORKING GROUP IS ONLY A SCIENTIFIC GROUP, AND NOW
3	WE'RE TRANSMUTING THEM INTO SOMETHING BEYOND THAT.
4	DR. DEAS: OKAY. WELL, WHILE THEY'RE A
5	SCIENTIFIC GROUP, THEY SEND UP TO US THE SCORE OF
6	THOSE GRANTS JUST BASED ON THE SCIENCE. AND WE WANT
7	THOSE GRANTS TO BE BASED ADDITIONALLY ON THESE OTHER
8	FACTORS.
9	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: IF I COULD. I'M ALWAYS
10	A LITTLE NERVOUS. YOU GUYS KNOW ME. I'M VERY MUCH
11	KIND OF, WHATEVER, PROCEDURALLY FOCUSED HERE. I'M
12	ALWAYS A LITTLE NERVOUS IN TRYING TO DO REALLY
13	IMPORTANT THINGS ON THE FLY. AND I'M JUST A LITTLE
14	WORRIED ABOUT TRYING TO DO TOO MUCH HERE TO WORK ALL
15	THIS OUT.
16	I WONDER IF WE COULD HAVE A MOTION THAT
17	DOESN'T REALLY SPECIFY KIND OF THE DETAILS, BUT
18	BRINGS IT FORWARD TO THE BOARD AS AN IMPORTANT
19	ELEMENT FOR DISCUSSION AS PART OF THIS CONCEPT PLAN,
20	BUT ASK THE CIRM TEAM TO MAYBE PUT SOME LANGUAGE TO
21	IT THAT SPELLS OUT WHATEVER A PROPOSAL MIGHT BE IN
22	TERMS OF WHERE THIS REVIEW SHOULD TAKE PLACE,
23	CONSIDERING APPROPRIATELY THE ROLES OF BOARD VERSUS
24	THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP AS DEFINED BY PROP 14.
25	MR. TORRES: AT THE BOARD MEETING.
	22

1	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: YES, AT THE BOARD
2	MEETING.
3	DR. DEAS: I WAS JUST GOING TO SAY THAT
4	SEEMS REASONABLE, THAT THE CIRM GROUP, THE STAFF,
5	COULD WORK ON THAT AND THEN BE PREPARED TO PRESENT
6	IT AT THE BOARD MEETING.
7	MR. TORRES: BY THAT TIME WE SHOULD HAVE
8	AN ANALYSIS OF WHAT THE NIH DOES SO THAT WE KNOW
9	THAT WE'RE COMPLEMENTING IT IF NOT ENHANCING IT.
10	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: YEAH. THAT WOULD BE
11	GREAT. SO LET ME TRY TO FORMULATE THIS MOTION, AND
12	YOU GUYS CAN CORRECT ME. BUT IT'S SORT OF WHAT
13	JAMES SAID IN THE BEGINNING. BUT THE MOTION WOULD
14	BE TO APPROVE THE CONCEPT PLAN GOING FORWARD WITH A
15	REQUEST TO THE CIRM TEAM TO PUT TOGETHER A PLAN FOR
16	CONSIDERATION OF THE ISSUES OF INCLUSION AND
17	OUTREACH AT ALL LEVELS AND A PROPOSAL FOR WHERE THAT
18	CONSIDERATION SHOULD ACTUALLY WHERE THE RANKING
19	OF THAT CONSIDERATION SHOULD ACTUALLY OCCUR, WHETHER
20	IT BE GWG OR AT THE BOARD LEVEL.
21	DR. DEAS: SO THAT SOUNDS GREAT. THE ONLY
22	ADDITION, AMENDMENT THAT I WOULD PUT, INSTEAD OF THE
23	WORD "CONSIDERATION," I WOULD SAY IMPLEMENTATION
24	BECAUSE I THINK WE NEED TO GO BEYOND CONSIDERING IT,
25	BUT TELL US HOW YOU'RE GOING TO IMPLEMENT THIS.
	22

1	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: OKAY. GOOD. DO WE
2	HAVE THAT MOTION IN SUFFICIENT DETAIL THAT SOMEBODY
3	ACTUALLY CAN SAY IT IN A BETTER WAY THAN I DID?
4	JAMES, I ALWAYS LOOK TO YOU FOR THIS.
5	MR. HARRISON: I'LL GIVE IT A TRY. SO
6	RECOMMEND SO THE MOTION WOULD BE TO RECOMMEND
7	APPROVAL OF THE CONCEPT PLAN AMENDMENTS WITH
8	DIRECTION TO THE CIRM TEAM TO PROPOSE LANGUAGE
9	REGARDING IMPLEMENTATION OF A PLAN TO CONSIDER
10	SERVING UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES AS PART OF AN
11	APPLICATION, CONSIDERATION OF REPRESENTATION FROM
12	UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES ON RESEARCH TEAMS, AND
13	CONSIDERATION OF THIS INFORMATION IN THE SCORING OF
14	APPLICATIONS.
15	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: GOOD. THAT CAPTURES IT
16	FOR ME. DO WE HAVE A MOTION ON THAT LANGUAGE?
17	DR. DEAS: SO MOVED.
18	MR. TORRES: SECOND.
19	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: AND THE SECOND WAS?
20	MR. TORRES: ART.
21	DR. DEAS: ART.
22	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: OKAY. FURTHER
23	COMMITTEE DISCUSSION? DO WE HAVE PUBLIC COMMENT ON
24	THIS?
25	MS. BONNEVILLE: WE DO NOT HAVE ANY HANDS
	34

	· ·
1	RAISED, OS.
2	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: OKAY. EXCELLENT.
3	MARIA, THEN COULD YOU CALL THE ROLL.
4	MS. BONNEVILLE: ABSOLUTELY. OS STEWARD.
5	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: YES.
6	MS. BONNEVILLE: DEBORAH DEAS.
7	DR. DEAS: YES.
8	MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
9	DR. DULIEGE: YES.
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: JUDY GASSON. JUDY,
11	YOU'RE ON MUTE, I THINK, BUT I THINK YOU SAID YES.
12	I READ LIPS. OKAY.
13	DAVID HIGGINS.
14	DR. HIGGINS: YES.
15	MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE JUELSGAARD.
16	MR. JUELSGAARD: YES.
17	MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
18	MR. TORRES: AYE.
19	MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
20	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
21	MS. BONNEVILLE: THE MOTION CARRIES.
22	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: THANK YOU. I THINK
23	THAT CONCLUDES OUR BUSINESS TODAY.
24	MS. BONNEVILLE: IT DOES.
25	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: GREAT. OKAY. WELL, IF
	35

1	THERE ARE NO OTHER COMMENTS, ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO
2	ADJOURN. SOMEBODY, COME ON.
3	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: EXCELLENT JOB,
4	MR. CHAIRMAN. MOVE WE ADJOURN.
5	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: WE'RE GOING TO TAKE
6	SOMEBODY'S NOD AS A SECOND. THANK YOU ALL FOR
7	ATTENDING. I THOUGHT THAT WAS A REALLY GOOD
8	DISCUSSION, AND WE'LL LOOK FORWARD TO SEEING YOU AT
9	THE NEXT BOARD MEETING IN DECEMBER.
10	(THE MEETING WAS THEN CONCLUDED AT 12:50 P.M.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	36

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, BETH C. DRAIN, A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT OF THE ZOOM PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE INDEPENDENT CITIZEN'S OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE OF THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE IN THE MATTER OF ITS REGULAR MEETING HELD ON DECEMBER 11, 2020, WAS HELD AS HEREIN APPEARS AND THAT THIS IS THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT THEREOF AND THAT THE STATEMENTS THAT APPEAR IN THIS TRANSCRIPT WERE REPORTED STENOGRAPHICALLY BY ME AND TRANSCRIBED BY ME. I ALSO CERTIFY THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING.

BETH C. DRAIN, CSR 7152 133 HENNA COURT SANDPOINT, IDAHO (208) 920-3543